University of Georgia
Affordable Materials Grants Data as of Spring 2023

$7,789,895.06  
Total Cumulative Savings

76,797  
Total Students Affected

$392,082.04  
Annual Savings, All Projects Implemented

9,597  
Annual Students, All Projects Implemented

4  
Total Student Savings USG Rank

3  
Total Students Affected USG Rank

$383,397  
Grant Funds Awarded

32  
Number of Grants Awarded

Total Savings by Subject (top 10)

- Chemistry: $2.76M
- Biological Sciences: $2.08M
- Psychology: $1.23M
- Kinesiology: $1.03M
- Educator Preparation: $0.56M
- Computing Disciplines: 
- Physics and Astronomy: 
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No-Cost and Low-Cost Attributes, Spring/Summer/Fall 2022

672
Low-Cost Sections

2.44%
Low-Cost Section %

1507
No-Cost Sections

5.46%
No-Cost Section %

2179
Total No-Cost & Low-Cost Sections

7.90%
No-Cost & Low-Cost Section %

No-Cost and Low-Cost Sections by Subject, Top 10, Spring/Summer/Fall 2022

Excludes eCore sections. System-wide section averages: 9.69% No-Cost, 3.15% Low-Cost, 12.8% Low + No-Cost. Due to some institutions still working through their local reporting workflows, designator data may be incomplete or inaccurate.
University of Georgia

2022 Top Faculty Adopters of No-Cost and Low-Cost Materials

Top No-Cost Adopters
(Course Sections)

Kristen Miller 90
Margaret Amstutz 50
Kaitlin Farrell 27
Mary Wolf 23
Emily Gertsch 18
David Williams 15
Andrew Benson 11
Abdulsamad Yusuf 10
Chandler Spivey 10
Elizabeth Rasmussen 10
Michael Diggs 10
Benjamin Fahrman 8
Devin Anderson 8
Nada Tamim 8
Richard Lee 8

Top Low-Cost Adopters
(Course Sections)

Daphne Norton 77
Francine Scott 18
Jennifer Walker 12
Gretchen Thomas 11
Karim Jetha 9
Michael Yoder 9
Zachary Tontillo 7
Dainess Maganda 6
Emuel Aldridge 6
Benjamin Thomas
Hui Du
John Weatherford
Onyedika Ekwerike
Siddharth Savadatti
Rebecca Leopkey
Stephen Smith
Tyler Mazurek

Data from No-Cost and Low-Cost Indicators in Spring, Summer, and Fall 2022. Excludes eCore sections. Due to some institutions still working through their local reporting workflows, designator data may be incomplete or inaccurate.